Memorandum

Date:

April 21, 2010

To:

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.16472

Subject:

BORDER COMMUNICATIONS CENTER'S RESPONSE TO FISCAL

CONTROLS INSPECTION

Attached is Border Communications Center's Exceptions response to the Fiscal Controls Inspection recently conducted by Departmental personnel.

The Area commander has closely reviewed the findings and recommendations contained within the final report and concurs with the evaluator's findings.

I concur with the commander's actions in this matter and am satisfied with the report's findings.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

Attachments

cc: Border Communications Center

DECETVE MAY 40 2010 BY: M

Memorandum

Date:

April 8, 2010

To:

Border Communications Center

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Communications Center

File No.:

618.10583.A05939.A13706

Subject:

FIRST QUARTER 2010 FISCAL AUDIT -

BORDER COMMUNICATIONS CENTER (618)

I have completed the first quarter audit of the Border Communications Center's petty cash fund, X-number log, and the postage meter account.

The petty cash fund is properly safeguarded. There is proper separation of duties between the employee responsible for the disbursement of funds, and the employee responsible for the reimbursement of the funds. I am the custodian of the fund; Public Safety Dispatch Supervisor II, #A05939, is the fund auditor; and Office Assistant Valerie Harmon, #A13706, is the alternate fund auditor. Currently, the petty cash fund has a balance of \$100 cash on-hand with no outstanding receipts.

There were 2 X-number's issued during the first quarter. X09-618-036 was issued for Blackwell Satellite Systems for the amount of \$1978.05 and the work was completed on 02/18/2010. X09-618-053 issued to TCR Services for printer and fax repairs in the amount \$233.05, work completed on 03/26/2010.

The postage meter balance is recorded at the end of each week and the mail clerk ensures that balance does not fall below the minimum amount of \$200. The key is kept in a secure location. The current postage available is \$242.81, with the last refill of \$465 made on 3/4/2010.

H. PAREDES, Lieutenant

Commander

cc: Border Division

Remarks:

N/A

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

| BCC /618 | Division: Border | Number: |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Evaluated by Plank | D | Date: 4-8-10 |
| Assisted by: | | Date: |

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statutes, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level Command Level Office of Inspections ▼ Voluntary Self-Inspection Commander's Signatule: Follow-up Required: ☐ Follow-Up Inspection X No Yes For applicable policies, refer to State Administrative Manual (SAM), HPM 11.1, Chapter 4, and HPM 11.2, Chapter 2. Note: A "Yes" response indicates full compliance with policy. If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is management actively involved in reviewing and Remarks: approving paperwork related to receiving and X Yes □ No \square N/A preparing collections? 2. Does the command have Standard Operating Remarks: Procedures (SOP) to provide necessary guidelines X Yes □ No \square N/A for overall management and accountability of receiving and preparing collections? 3. Does the command have adequate separation of Remarks: X Yes □ No □ N/A duties for collections received? 4. Does the command have adequate separation of Remarks: X Yes ☐ N/A duties for the cash receipt process? □ No 5. Is access to the safe and/or vault appropriately Remarks: Not Used N/A ☐ Yes □ No 6. Does a record exist which identifies who has access Remarks: N/A to the safe and/or vault and when changes in access ☐ Yes ☐ No Not used occur? 7. Was the lock combination changed when an excess Remarks: Not Used ☐ Yes □ No N/A number of employees were aware of the combination, transferred out of the Area, or no longer required access? 8. Is the safe securely anchored to the building? Remarks: Not used ☐ Yes N/A ☐ No 9. Are weekly transmittal reports prepared in Remarks: X Yes □ No accordance with departmental policy? □ N/A 10. Is the weekly transmittal report(s) submitted to Fiscal

Y Yes

□ No

Management Section (FMS) within five working days

following the week covered by the report?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

| 26. Were change funds used to cash checks, money orders or cashier/travelers checks? | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | ⊠ N/A | Remarks: No change fund |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| 27. Are change funds over \$100 and petty cash funds over \$200 kept in a safe, vault, or money chest adequate to safeguard cash? | Yes | □No | ⊠ N/A | Remarks: No change fund Petty Cash not over \$200 |
| 28. Are all petty cash purchases under \$50? | ⊻ Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 29. Is petty cash used to purchase prohibited items? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 30. Did the command circumvent the dollar limitation by splitting the purchase? | ☐ Yes | ⋉ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 31. Is a petty cash custodian designated by the commander? | ▼ Yes | ∏No | □ N/A | Remarks: |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

| Does the command submit the following forms with the weekly transmittal when applicable? CHP 265, Sale of Discarded Tires/Junk Batteries/Used Rotors. CHP 36, Evidence/Property Receipt/Report (Unclaimed Property). STD 634, Absence and Additional Time Worked Report, for jury duty. CHP 221, Malicious Damage Report. CHP 464, Traffic Control Cost Estimate – Advance Deposit. Civil subpoena. | ▼ Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: Dnly #6 Applies. |
|---|--------------|------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 12. Is a memorandum for cash shortages prepared if necessary? | ∡ Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 13. Does the command ensure the information written on the counter receipt is complete and legible? | X Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 14. Was a counter receipt issued for each witness fee deposit received? | ▼ Yes | ☐ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 15. Was a counter receipt issued for each movie, wide-load, and special event detail(s)? | ☐ Yes | ☐ No | ⋉ N/A | Remarks: Not an Area of c |
| 16. Was a counter receipt issued for each sale, including the sale of discarded tires, junk batteries, used rotors, and other cash received? | ☐ Yes | □ No | ⊠ N/A | Remarks: |
| 17. Is sales tax added to items that are not for resale? | ☐ Yes | □No | ▼ N/A | Remarks: |
| 18. Are all counter receipts pre-numbered and issued in numerical sequence? | ⊠ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 19. Were transfers of counter receipt books/certificates between field commands reported on a CHP 266A, Credit Memo - Non- Equipment? | 🛣 Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 20. Is the STD 439, Disbursement Voucher, properly authorized and completed to support expenditure? | ≱ Yes | □ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 21. Are the CHP 264, Petty Cash Replenishment Requests, completed at least monthly if over \$10.00, quarterly if under \$10.00, and on June 30 of each fiscal year? | 🗷 Yes | □ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 22. Is the CHP 264 properly authorized? | ⊭ Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 23. Does the total amount of cash, receipts on hand, and receipts in transit equal the total of petty cash and change funds? | X Yes | ∏ No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 24. Is there documentation to support periodic reviews of petty cash and change funds performed by the commander or designated person? | ⊠ Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: |
| 25. Are overages and shortages of the petty cash funds reported to Fiscal Management Section? | ✓ Yes | □No | □ N/A | Remarks: |